Integrated Airport Experience Management (I AEM)

Making Every Journey Seamless: Flow Integration, Data Foundations, and Virtualized Airport Operations

Presented by
EMMA SYSTEMS AND RHEINBERRY

Emma

RHEINBERRY

RHEINBERRY
Executive Summary

The limitation of today's Total Airport Management is not technology. It is perspective.

Most TAM implementations still reflect how airports are governed, not how they are experienced. Operational functions may perform well in isolation, yet the passenger journey remains exposed to friction, inconsistency, and cascading failure. For the traveller, the distinction between "who owns the problem" is meaningless. This whitepaper introduces **Integrated Airport Experience Management (I-AEM)** — a passenger-first evolution of TAM that treats experience as a system outcome, not a by-product. By aligning operational decisions around end-to-end passenger flows, I-AEM enables airports to anticipate disruption, coordinate response in real time, and preserve continuity of service even under stress. In doing so, **risk management and business continuity** shift from back-office disciplines to front-line determinants of **trust, safety, and resilience.**

Central to this transformation is the strategic use of data – not as a byproduct of operations, but as the connective tissue that enables:

- Cross–stakeholder coordination;
- Predictive capacity planning;
- Proactive disruption management;
- Personalization without operational friction; and
- An operational future where selected functions can be virtualized, enabling remote and multi–airport management models.

Virtualization is not a standalone innovation. It is the natural outcome of standardized, digitized, and orchestrated passenger flows – unlocking lower operating costs, faster innovation cycles, greater resilience, and improved passenger satisfaction.

1. Introduction: Why Reframe Total Airport Management?

Airports have historically operated within a functional and organizational matrix: departments and stakeholders optimize their own performance, infrastructure, or compliance obligations. Yet the passenger journey cuts across all these domains. This creates an increasingly visible gap:

- Airports are managed in silos;
- Passengers move in uninterrupted flows.

As a result, local optimizations frequently create global inefficiencies. A well-run security process can still produce a poor passenger experience if it is not synchronized with landside arrivals, check-in readiness, terminal capacity, gate changes, or network disruption.

From TAM to I AEM

TAM as a term means different things across airports and stakeholders. To remove ambiguity, this whitepaper uses Integrated Airport Experience Management (I AEM) to describe a modern model where:

- The passenger journey is the organizing principle;
- Flows are linked through shared milestones and shared data;
- Operational decisions are outcome-oriented; and
- Business continuity and resilience are designed into the operating model.

The virtualization imperative

Aviation has already proven that location-bound operations can be transformed, most notably through remote/digital towers in air traffic management. A similar shift is now possible for many airport operational domains, where monitoring, planning, and optimization can be executed off-airport without breaking flow integrity.

RHEINBERRY

2.The Passenger Journey as the Operating Framework

The passenger journey provides a natural organizing principle for I AEM. Instead of mapping functions independently (baggage, security, airfield), I AEM organizes the airport as a set of experiential process flows, each with measurable passenger outcomes.

This reframing enables:

- seamless integration across organizational boundaries,
- outcome-based measurement (passenger-centric KPIs),
- agility during disruption, and
- improved coordination between operational and commercial objectives.

3.Passenger-Centric Process Flows

The passenger-centric I AEM model is structured around six core flows. Each flow is a "control domain" that can be measured, forecasted, and optimized.

FLOW	FLOW PROCESS FLOW	PASSENGER OUTCOME	PRIMARY ACTORS
1	Inbound Traffic Management	Predictable access, reduced stress	Transport authorities, airport ops
2	Landside Processing	Smooth curb-to-check-in	Airport ops, airlines, handlers
3	Security Screening	Safe, predictable, low anxiety	Security, regulators, APOC
4	Terminal Experience	Comfort, clarity, readiness	Airport, retailers, CX/IT
5	Boarding & Airfield Interface	Reliable boarding and turnaround	Airlines, handlers, apron control
6	Network Integration	Connected end-to-end journeys	Airlines, partner airports, ANSPs

4. The Missing Layer: Linking Flows Through Journey Orchestration

Many airports already measure individual processes (queue times, staffing, turnaround). Yet flow integration fails because there is no explicit journey orchestration layer.

Why journey integration fails today?
1. Stakeholder KPI conflicts (airport vs airline vs security vs retail)
2. Data silos and limited interoperability
3. Lack of a shared milestone model
4. Reactive disruption handling instead of predictive coordination
5. Fragmented decision rights and unclear escalation paths

Journey orchestration requires four capabilities to link flows into a coherent end-to-end system:
1. Shared milestones (common definitions and timestamps)
2. Shared operational picture (cross-stakeholder visibility)
3. Triggers and playbooks (standard interventions and escalation logic)
4. Decision governance (who can act, when, and based on what thresholds)

This is the point where TAM evolves into I AEM: from "monitoring" into orchestration.

5. Flow 1 — Inbound Traffic Management ("From Home to Curb")

Description
Inbound traffic management includes all multimodal transport elements feeding passengers into the airport: car traffic, buses, trams, metro, and rail services.

Typical passenger friction
- Congestion uncertainty,
- Lack of real-time updates,
- Missed flight stress due to unpredictable access time.

I AEM role

Airports become data consumers and coordinators of broader transport ecosystems. Inbound traffic data should actively feed capacity planning for:

- Check-in and bag drop staffing,
- Security lane allocation,
- Passenger messaging and rerouting,
- Priority handling for late-arriving passengers.

Operational data inputs ("Data in Action")

- Data used: traffic feeds, parking reservations, ride-hailing patterns, public transport delays, flight/booking data
- Users: airport operations center, transport coordinators, airline check-in managers
- Actions enabled: staffing adjustment, passenger alerts, alternative routing recommendations, proactive disruption warnings

Enablers

- Real-time APIs from road/rail operators,
- Integration with booking and passenger communication channels,
- Passenger-facing alerts linked to flight risk.

6. Flow 2 — Landside Processing ("Curb to Screening Zone")

Description

The landside domain includes arrival touchpoints, parking/curb operations, check-in halls, self-service, baggage drop, and (where relevant) passport/document pre-controls.

Typical passenger friction

- Long queues and unclear routing,
- Lack of personalization,
- Insufficient support for PRM travelers,
- Uneven peak management.

I AEM role

Landside operations must be demand-driven, not schedule-driven. Airports and airlines should share arrival, load, and readiness data to predict peaks and dynamically allocate:

- Space,
- Resources
- Signage,
- PRM assistance capacity.

Operational data inputs ("Data in Action")

- Data used: load forecasts, passenger arrival time signals (parking, ride-share, check-in apps), kiosk activity
- Users: airline agents, landside operations, passenger services
- Actions enabled: dynamic signage, queue management, PRM anticipatory resource planning

Enablers

- Mobile/pre-check completion,
- Smart baggage systems with passenger visibility,
- Real-time queue prediction dashboards.

7. Flow 3 — Security Screening ("Predictable, Trust-Based Transit")

Description

Security screening is the gateway between landside and terminal flows. It is operationally critical and emotionally sensitive.

Typical passenger friction

- Anxiety and uncertainty,
- Unpredictability of wait times,
- Perceived intrusiveness.

I AEM role

Security becomes an integrated flow domain rather than an isolated checkpoint. The focus shifts from static compliance throughput toward:

- Predictable wait times,
- Better passenger segmentation,
- Proactive upstream/downstream coordination

Operational data inputs ("Data in Action")

- Data used: throughput rates, biometric verifications, lane occupancy, queue sensors, risk flags (where permitted)
- Users: security supervisors, APOC, airline connections desks
- Actions enabled: proactive lane activation, wait-time prediction, disruption messaging and coordination

Enablers

- Predictive waiting time models,
- Integrated biometric identity (where allowed),
- Passenger type segmentation (family, PRM, business, transit connections).

8.Flow 4 — Terminal Experience ("Dwell, Readiness, and Comfort")

Description

This is the passenger dwell phase: retail, food & beverage, lounges, washrooms, and wayfinding. It is also a key value-creation domain.

Typical passenger friction

- Crowding and confusion,
- Inconsistent signage and gate readiness,
- Lack of integration with digital journeys and airport apps.

I AEM role

Terminal operations become a readiness management domain where airports optimize:

- Crowd distribution,
- Passenger comfort and perception,
- Time-to-gate reliability,
- Commercial engagement without friction.

Operational data inputs ("Data in Action")

- Data used: movement analytics (Wi-Fi/BLE), flight and gate distance data, dwell times, satisfaction data, crowd sensors
- Users: commercial managers, CX teams, wayfinding teams
- Actions enabled: crowd balancing via signage, targeted service opening, context-aware promotions and guidance

Enablers

- Personalized itineraries,
- Context-aware smart signage,
- Terminal "readiness score" monitoring (how ready passenger cohorts are for boarding).

9.Flow 5 — Boarding & Airfield Interface ("From Lounge to Lift-Off")

Description

This flow begins at boarding pass scan and ends as the passenger enters the aircraft. It is where terminal readiness meets turnaround execution.

Typical passenger friction

- Late gate changes,
- Bus boarding confusion,
- Accessibility gaps,
- Poorly managed boarding.

I AEM role

Boarding reliability requires tight coordination across:
- Gate teams,
- Terminal ops and wayfinding,
- Turnaround coordinators,
- ATC/apron sequencing constraints.

Operational data inputs ("Data in Action")
- Data used: scan timestamps, turnaround progress, connection risk indicators, gate changes
- Users: gate agents, crews, turnaround coordination, APOC
- Actions enabled: staffing adjustments, boarding sequence optimization, passenger re-routing, connection support

10.Flow 6 — Network Integration ("Airport as a Node")

While not explored in depth here, it is essential to recognize that passenger journeys exist inside a network. Airports must become cooperative nodes, not isolated endpoints.

Operational data inputs ("Data in Action")
- Data used: network flight status, interlining baggage data, aircraft availability, hub disruption signals
- Users: partner airports, airlines, network ops centers
- Actions enabled: coordinated recovery actions, pre-alerting for inbound surges, transfer protection orchestration

11.Foundations of Future I AEM

I AEM tranformation is more than a process redesign, it is a shift in operational philosophy supported by enabling architecture and governance.

1) Operational Data Fabric
A persistent, real-time data architecture interconnecting operational systems and passenger touchpoints. It enables predictive planning, synchronized decisions, and cross-stakeholder situational awareness.

2) Passenger Digital Twin
A real-time journey representation reflecting the passenger's constraints and status (booking, movement, milestones, preferences). The digital twin enables:
- Personalization,
- Disruption prevention,
- Anticipation of bottlenecks and readiness risks.

3) APOC as a Journey Orchestration Center
The APOC evolves from monitoring into orchestration: live coordination, proactive interventions, disruption playbooks, and cross-stakeholder decision support.

4) Ethical and Transparent Data Practices
Trust is foundational. I AEM must be based on:
- Transparency and opt-in,
- Clear value exchange,
- Regulatory alignment (e.g., GDPR),
- Cybersecurity and resilience

12.Unlocking Value: Ethical Monetization of Airport Data

RHEINBERRY

12. Unlocking Value: Ethical Monetization of Airport Data

Airports generate large volumes of operational and behavioral data. With governance and consent, this becomes a strategic asset.

1) Hyper-personalized retail and services
- Targeted offers via apps/signage/lounge platforms
- Improved conversion and premium attachment rates

2) Dynamic commercial pricing
- Demand-based parking and services
- Targeted offers supporting load balancing (e.g., shifting demand away from congested zones)

3) Data-as-a-Service for ecosystem partners
Anonymized, aggregated insights for:
- Retailers, and Food & Beverage;
- Airlines;
- Transport authorities; and
- Regulators

4) Operational benchmarking partnerships
Cross-airport data sharing for planning improvements, resource sharing, and recovery coordination.

5) Predictive commercial planning
Using digital twin and flow analytics to optimize:
- Concession placement,
- Staffing,
- Commercial layout and investment.

Important: monetization must always follow transparent consent and governance principles.

13. Digitization and Virtualization: The Next Operating Model

Digitization is enabling airports to evolve from location-bound operational environments into distributed management ecosystems. With operational and passenger data captured and integrated in real time, key functions no longer need to be executed physically inside the terminal.

Virtualization: what it is (and what it is not)

Virtualization is not simply centralizing staff in a remote room. It is the ability to execute monitoring, planning, and optimization remotely without breaking passenger flow integrity.

Like remote tower operations demonstrated in ATC, the same principle can apply to:

- Parking and curb flow optimization,
- Landside coordination,
- Passenger flow management,
- Disruption monitoring and interventions,
- Coordination of staffing and throughput.

What can be virtualized first (high value, low regulatory risk)

- Journey monitoring and control dashboards,
- Queue prediction and load balancing interventions,
- Passenger communications and disruption playbooks,
- Operational analytics and capacity planning,
- Airport-wide "readiness orchestration."

What should remain local

To maintain credibility and safety alignment, certain functions should not be virtualized beyond limited support roles:

- Physical screening execution,
- Safety-critical incident response,
- On-field interventions and emergency response,
- Regulatory authority decisions.

What virtualization requires

Virtualization becomes possible only when:

- Flows are standardized,
- Milestones are digitized,
- Data is shared through an operational fabric,
- Governance defines decision rights,
- Cyber resilience and continuity are designed into the model.

Why virtualization matters

The advantages are material:

- Lower cost through shared resources,
- Higher consistency in interventions across airports,
- Faster deployment of new technologies and capabilities,
- Improved accessibility of advanced ops for mid-size airports,
- Stronger passenger satisfaction due to proactive interventions.

14. Conclusion: From Fragmentation to Orchestration

Airports are at a strategic inflection point. Rising passenger expectations, increasing operational volatility, and intensifying commercial pressure are exposing the limits of fragmented Total Airport Management. Optimising individual functions is no longer sufficient when passengers experience disruption as a failure of the whole system.

Integrated Airport Experience Management (I-AEM) represents a decisive shift from managing operations in silos to governing the airport as a continuous, passenger-centred system. It enables airports to move beyond coordination toward true orchestration.

I-AEM delivers:

·End-to-end passenger flow integration, aligning decisions across the journey rather than within departments

·A shared operational picture, enabling stakeholders to act with collective intent, not local optimisation

·Proactive disruption management, reducing impact rather than reacting after failure

·Experience-driven digital monetisation, linking commercial performance to operational reality

·Systematic resilience, embedding risk management and business continuity into day-to-day operations rather than treating them as exception handling

Crucially, I-AEM establishes the conditions required for safe and scalable virtualization.

Virtualization is not the starting point - it is the reward. Only when passenger flows are integrated, digitised, and governed as a system can airports confidently relocate selected functions beyond the physical perimeter. I-AEM makes it possible to operate distributed, cost-effective, multi-airport models without eroding passenger trust, regulatory confidence, or operational control.

In an environment where disruption is inevitable, experience continuity becomes the ultimate measure of performance. I-AEM gives airport leadership the means to protect it — deliberately, measurably, and at scale.

The question for airport boards is no longer whether to pursue Integrated Airport Experience Management, but how long they are prepared to operate without it? [RD1] [RD1]As with the introduction, is this punchier and more provocative?

15.Recommended Next Steps

To move from fragmented operations to a scalable I AEM model, airports should prioritize five actions:

1. Define a unified passenger journey milestone model
2. Establish shared milestones across stakeholders as the basis for orchestration.
3. Deploy an operational data fabric
4. Integrate landside, terminal, airline, handling, security, and ATC-adjacent datasets in real time.
5. Upgrade APOC into a journey orchestration center
6. Expand from monitoring into proactive coordination and disruption playbooks.
7. Establish governance and decision rights
8. Clarify who can act on which triggers and how escalations work.
9. Begin virtualization with non-safety-critical services
10. Virtualize monitoring, planning, passenger communications, and optimization first, then scale.

If you would like to explore how I AEM and virtualization can be applied to your airport environment, we welcome the opportunity to discuss operational priorities, data readiness, and implementation options.

Contacts

EMMA Systems
Jean Luc Devisscher, Marketing and Partnerships Manager
(+32) 473 788 055, jl.devisscher@emma.aero
P.O. Box 23604
Transworld Tower 1, 5th Floor, Building No. 4 ,Doha, QATAR

Rheinberry
Richard Dempers, Director – Solutions & Technology
+44 7747 863865, richard.dempers@rheinberry.com
International House, 36-38 Cornhill, London, United Kingdom, EC3V 3NG, United Kingdom